UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/530,483	09/28/2005	Joerg Rosenberg	268305US0PCT	5340	
	7590 10/30/200 CE DELUCA + QUIG	EXAMINER			
1300 EYE STR SUITE 1000 W	EET NW	SASAN, ARADHANA			
WASHINGTON	= =	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1615		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/30/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/530,483	ROSENBERG ET AL.	
F	A 4 11 14	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	ARADHANA SASAN	1615	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>09 October 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS <i>i</i>		-	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. 🔲 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NOTow);	ΓE below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in belappeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially red	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. $oxedsymbol{oxed}$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	·	•	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20.		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
Claim(s) rejected. <u>7-20.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10.	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attache	ed.
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	at does NOT place the application in	condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13.			

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The proposed amendment (new claim 21) raises new issues regarding the softening point temperature of 70 degrees C to 180 degrees C that will require further consideration and/or search. The previous set of claims only recited a softening point of at least 70 degrees C. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Carli rules out the thermoplastic polymer required by claim 2. However, the requirement of the thermoplastic polymer of claim 2 is met by the copolymer of N-vinylpyrrolidone (NVP) and vinyl acetate taught by the primary reference, Klimesch. Carli cures the deficiency of the crosslinked nonthermoplastic carrier by teaching crosslinked PVP and cross-linked carboxymethylcellulose. Applicant argues that Carli does not relate to using a multi-screw extruder. However, Klimesch, the primary reference teaches a process of extruding a polymer melt containing an active compound and the multi-screw extruder (Col. 1, lines 5-9 and Col. 1, lines 31-34).

/Aradhana Sasan/ Examiner, Art Unit 1615

> /MP WOODWARD/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615